arXiv:0904.1458v2 [math.GT] 23 Feb 2010

SPACES OF MAPS INTO TOPOLOGICAL GROUP WITH THE WHITNEY TOPOLOGY

TARAS BANAKH, KOTARO MINE, KATSURO SAKAI, AND TATSUHIKO YAGASAKI

Abstract. Let X be a locally compact Polish space and G a non-discrete Polish ANR group. By $C(X,G)$, we denote the topological group of all continuous maps $f : X \to G$ endowed with the Whitney (graph) topology and by $C_c(X,G)$ the subgroup consisting of all maps with compact support. It is known that if X is compact and non-discrete then the space $C(X,G)$ is an l_2-manifold. In this article we show that if X is non-compact and not end-discrete then $C_c(X,G)$ is an $(\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2)$-manifold, and moreover the pair $(C(X,G), C_c(X,G))$ is locally homeomorphic to the pair of the box and the small box powers of l_2.

1. Introduction

This paper is one of studies on local or global topological types of infinite-dimensional topological groups, which appear as function spaces over non-compact spaces ([1, 2, 3, 4, 6]). For spaces X and Y let $C(X,Y)$ denote the space of all continuous maps $f : X \to Y$ endowed with the *Whitney* (*graph*) *topology*. When X is compact, this topology coincides with the compact-open topology. In [16] the third author showed that if X is a non-discrete compact metrizable space and Y is a Polish ANR without isolated points, then the space $C(X,Y)$ is an l_2-manifold, i.e., a paracompact Hausdorff space which is locally homeomorphic to ($\approx_\ell$) the separable Hilbert space l_2. Here and below, a Polish space means a separable completely metrizable space.

Suppose X is a paracompact space and G is a Hausdorff topological group with the unit element e. Then, the space $C(X,G)$ is a topological group under the pointwise multiplication. Let $C_c(X,G)$ denote the subgroup of $C(X,G)$ consisting of maps $f : X \to G$ with compact support. Here, the support of $f \in C(X,G)$ is defined by $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{cl}_X\{x \in X : f(x) \neq e\}$. When X is a non-discrete compact metrizable space and G is a non-discrete Polish ANR group, from [16] or the famous Dobrowolski - Toruńczyk's theorem on l_2-manifold topological groups, it follows that $C(X,G)$ is an l_2-manifold. In this paper we study topological types of the spaces $C(X,G)$ and $C_c(X,G)$ in the case where X is non-compact. The group structure on these spaces is a key ingredient to our arguments.

A *Fréchet space* is a completely metrizable locally convex topological linear space. An *LF-space* is the direct limit of increasing sequence of Fréchet spaces in the category of (locally convex) topological linear spaces. In [14] it is shown that a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A13, 46T10, 54H11, 57N20, 58D15.

Key words and phrases. Fréchet space, LF-space, topological group, l_2-manifold, $(\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2)$-manifold, function space, the Whitney (graph) topology, the box product, the small box product, end-discrete.

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.19540078).

separable LF-space is homeomorphic to ($\approx$) either l_2, $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ or $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$, where $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ is the direct limit of the tower

$$\mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \cdots .$$

The spaces $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ and $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$ are homeomorphic to the countable small box powers $\boxdot^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{R}$ and $\boxdot^{\mathbb{N}} l_2$, respectively. The latter spaces are subspaces of the box powers $\Box^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{R}$ and $\Box^{\mathbb{N}} l_2$. See Section 2 for the definition of the (small) box powers (or products). For simplicity, the pair $(\Box^{\mathbb{N}} l_2, \boxdot^{\mathbb{N}} l_2)$ is denoted by $(\Box, \boxdot)^{\mathbb{N}} l_2$. We say that a space X is *end-discrete* if $X \setminus K$ is discrete for some compact subset K of X. The following are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a non-compact locally compact Polish space and G a non-discrete Polish AR (ANR) group.*

(1) *If X is not end-discrete or G is not locally compact, then*

$$(C(X,G), C_c(X,G)) \approx_{(\ell)} (\Box, \boxdot)^{\mathbb{N}} l_2.$$

(2) *Suppose G is locally compact.*
  (i) *If X is end-discrete and non-discrete, then*

$$(C(X,G), C_c(X,G)) \approx_{(\ell)} l_2 \times (\Box, \boxdot)^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{R}.$$

  (ii) *If X is discrete (i.e., $X \approx \mathbb{N}$), then*

$$(C(X,G), C_c(X,G)) \approx_{(\ell)} (\Box, \boxdot)^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a non-compact locally compact Polish space and G a Hausdorff topological group.*

(1) *If G is (locally) contractible, then $C_c(X,G)$ is (locally) contractible.*
(2) *Suppose G is a non-discrete Polish ANR group.*
  (i) *If X is non-discrete or G is not locally compact, then the space $C_c(X,G)$ is an $(\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2)$-manifold. In addition, if G is an AR, then $C_c(X,G) \approx \mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$.*
  (ii) *If X is discrete and G is locally compact, then the space $C_c(X,G)$ is an $\mathbb{R}^\infty$-manifold. In addition, if G is an AR, then $C_c(X,G) \approx \mathbb{R}^\infty$.*

2. The box topology and topological groups

In this preliminary section we recall basic facts on the box topology and topological groups (cf. [3, Section 2], [12, 17]). The *box product* $\Box_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ of a sequence of topological spaces $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the countable product $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ endowed with the box topology. This topology is generated by the base consisting of boxes $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} U_i$, where U_i is an open subset in X_i. The *small box product* $\boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ of a sequence of pointed spaces $(X_i, *_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the subspace of $\Box_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ defined by

$$\boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i = \left\{ (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Box_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i : \exists\, n \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall i \geq n,\ x_i = *_i \right\}.$$

For notational simplicity, the pair $(\Box_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i, \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i)$ is denoted by the symbol $(\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$. In case $X_i = X$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Box_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ and $\boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ are respectively denoted by $\Box^{\mathbb{N}} X$ and $\boxdot^{\mathbb{N}} X$ and called the *box power* and the *small box power*, and moreover $(\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ is denoted by $(\Box, \boxdot)^{\mathbb{N}} X$.

Suppose G is a topological group with the unit element $e \in G$. We always choose this unit element e as the distinguished point of G and its subgroups. A tower of closed subgroups in G is a sequence $(G_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of closed subgroups of G such that

$$G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad G = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} G_i.$$

This tower yields a box product pair $(\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}G_i$ and the multiplication map

$$p : \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}G_i \to G, \quad p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i.$$

Note that $(\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}G_i$ is a pair of a topological group and its subgroup with the unit element $\boldsymbol{e} = (e, e, \dots)$ and that the multiplication map p is continuous ([3, Lemma 2.10]).

We say that (i) a map $f : X \to Y$ is *open at a point* $x \in X$ if for any neighborhood U of x in X the image $f(U)$ is a neighborhood of $f(x)$ in Y and (ii) $f : X \to Y$ has a local section at $y \in Y$ if there exist a neighborhood V of y in Y and a map $s : V \to X$ such that $fs = \mathrm{id}_V$.

Remark 2.1. (1) The map p is open iff p is open at $\boldsymbol{e}$.

(2) If the map p has a local section at e, then p is open.

We say that a topological group G is *the direct limit* of the tower $(G_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the category of topological groups if any group homomorphism $h : G \to H$ to an arbitrary topological group H is continuous whenever its restrictions $h|_{G_i}$ are continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that G is the direct limit of $(G_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if G carries the strongest group topology inducing the original topology on each group G_i. This description of the direct limit topology has the following consequence (cf. [4, Proposition 2.7], [5, Theorem 2.2]):

Proposition 2.2. *If the map* $p : \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}G_i \to G$ *is open, then* G *is the direct limit of* $(G_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *in the category of topological groups.*

We conclude this section with some remarks on the (local) contractibility of the small box products. A space X is called (i) *strongly locally contractible* at $x \in X$ if every neighborhood U of x contains a neighborhood V of x which contracts to the point x in U with keeping x fixed (i.e., there is a contraction $h_t : V \to U$ $(t \in [0,1])$ of V with $h_t(x) = x$), and (ii) *strongly contractible* at $x \in X$ if X contracts to x in itself with keeping x fixed. Note that if a topological group G is (locally) contractible then G is strongly (locally) contractible at every $x \in G$ ([3, Remark 2.9]).

Proposition 2.3 ([3, Proposition 2.8])**.** *The small box product* $\boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}(X_i, x_i)$ *is strongly (locally) contractible at the base point* $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *if and only if each space* X_i *is strongly (locally) contractible at the base point* x_i.

3. Topological group $C(X, G)$

3.1. **The graph topology.** For spaces X and Y let $C(X, Y)$ denote the space of continuous maps $f : X \to Y$ endowed with the *Whitney topology* (or the *graph topology*). For $f \in C(X, Y)$ the symbol Γ_f stands for the graph of f (i.e., $\Gamma_f = \{ (x, f(x)) \in X \times Y : x \in X \}$). The graph topology on $C(X, Y)$ is generated by the base

$$\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle = \{ f \in C(X, Y) : \Gamma_f \subset \mathcal{U} \} \qquad (\mathcal{U} \text{ runs over the open sets in } X \times Y).$$

For pairs of spaces (X, A) and (Y, B) let $C(X, A; Y, B)$ denote the subspace of $C(X, Y)$ defined by

$$C(X, A; Y, B) = \{ f \in C(X, Y) : f(A) \subset B \}.$$

For a point $y \in Y$ let $\widehat{y} = \widehat{y}_X \in C(X, Y)$ denote the constant map onto y.

Suppose G is a topological group with the unit element e. Then, the space $C(X, G)$ has the canonical group structure defined by the pointwise multiplication

$$(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x) \quad (f, g \in C(X, G),\ x \in X).$$

Then, the constant map $\widehat{e}$ is the unit element of $C(X, G)$. The inverse f^{-1} of $f \in C(X, G)$ is defined by

$$f^{-1}(x) = \big(f(x)\big)^{-1} \quad (x \in X).$$

The support of $f \in C(X, G)$ is defined by $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \mathrm{cl}_X\{x \in X : f(x) \neq e\}$. We obtain a subgroup

$$C_c(X, G) = \{f \in C(X, G) : \mathrm{supp}(f) \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Now we shall show that when X is paracompact, $\mathcal{G} = C(X, G)$ is a topological group with respect to the graph topology. First we see that the inverse operator and the left/right multiplications are always continuous.

Lemma 3.1. *The inverse operator $\theta : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$, $\theta(g) = g^{-1}$ and the left/right multiplications $L_f, R_f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$, $L_f(g) = fg$, $R_f(g) = gf$ are continuous.*

Proof. Since G is a topological group, we have the homeomorphisms:

(i) $\Theta : X \times G \approx X \times G, \quad \Theta(x, y) = (x, y^{-1})$

(ii) $\Phi_f, \Phi^f : X \times G \approx X \times G, \quad \Phi_f(x, y) = (x, f(x)y), \quad \Phi^f(x, y) = (x, yf(x))$.

Note that $\Theta(\Gamma_g) = \Gamma_{g^{-1}}$, $\Phi_f(\Gamma_g) = \Gamma_{fg}$ and $\Phi^f(\Gamma_g) = \Gamma_{gf}$ for any $g \in C(X, G)$. Therefore, if $g \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$, then $g^{-1} \in \langle \Theta(\mathcal{U}) \rangle$ and $fg \in \langle \Phi_f(\mathcal{U}) \rangle$, $gf \in \langle \Phi^f(\mathcal{U}) \rangle$. This implies the assertions. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *For every paracompact space X, the group $C(X, G)$ is a topological group with respect to the graph topology.*

By Lemma 3.1 the continuity of the multiplication of $C(X, G)$ reduces to its continuity at $(\widehat{e}, \widehat{e})$. The latter is equivalent to the assertion that each neighborhood $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ of $\widehat{e}$ admits a smaller neighborhood $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ of $\widehat{e}$ with $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$. For $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subset X \times G$, we set $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V} = \{ (x, yz) \in X \times G : (x, y) \in \mathcal{U}, (x, z) \in \mathcal{V} \}$. Note that $\Gamma_{fg} = \Gamma_f \Gamma_g$ and $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{U}\mathcal{V} \rangle$. Therefore, Proposition 3.2 follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *If X is paracompact, then for any neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\Gamma_{\widehat{e}}$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\Gamma_{\widehat{e}}$ such that $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. For each $x \in X$ there exist open neighborhoods U_x of x in X and W_x of e in G such that $U_x \times W_x W_x \subset \mathcal{U}$. Since X is paracompact, the open covering $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$ admits a locally finite open refinement $\{V_x\}_{x \in X}$ with $V_x \subset U_x$ $(x \in X)$. Each $y \in X$ has an open neighborhood O_y which meets at most finitely many V_x's. Then $\widetilde{W}_y = \bigcap \{W_x : O_y \cap V_x \neq \emptyset\}$ is an open neighborhood of e in G. Finally, we define

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{y \in X} O_y \times \widetilde{W}_y.$$

It remains to show that $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Given any $(z,a),(z,b) \in \mathcal{V}$. There exist $y, y', x \in X$ such that $(z,a) \in O_y \times \widetilde{W}_y$, $(z,b) \in O_{y'} \times \widetilde{W}_{y'}$ and $z \in V_x$. Since V_x meets both O_y and $O_{y'}$, it follows that $\widetilde{W}_y, \widetilde{W}_{y'} \subset W_x$ and that $(z,ab) \in U_x \times W_x W_x \subset \mathcal{U}$. This means that $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$. □

3.2. Compact case.

When X is compact, the graph topology on $C(X,Y)$ coincides with the compact-open topology. In this subsection we list some basic facts on the space $C(X,Y)$ for a compact metrizable space X. The proof of the main theorem in [16] can be modified to prove the following relative version:

Theorem 3.4 ([16]). *Let X be a compact metrizable space with a compact subset $K \subsetneqq X$ and Y a Polish AR (ANR) without isolated points. If $X \setminus K$ is infinite or $Y \approx_\ell l_2$, then $C(X,K;Y,y) \approx_{(\ell)} l_2$ for any $y \in Y$.*

When Y is a topological group, we have a more precise conclusion.

Theorem 3.5 ([11, 15], [7, Corollary 1]). *Let G be a Polish AR (ANR) group. Then,*

1. *$G \approx_{(\ell)} l_2$ if G is non-locally compact, and*
2. *$G \approx_{(\ell)} \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \geq 0$ if G is locally compact.*

In Theorem 3.5, if G is an ANR, then G is an l_2-manifold in the case (1) and a Lie group in the case (2) ([7, Corollary 1]). For the AR case, note that (1) any contractible l_2-manifold is homeomorphic to l_2 itself and (2) any contractible n-dimensional Lie group is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. In fact, by Cartan-Malcev-Iwasawa's polar decomposition theorem [13] any connected Lie group G admits a factorization $G \approx K \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where K is any maximal compact subgroup of G. If G is contractible, then the closed manifold K is also contractible and hence consists of a single point.

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be a compact metrizable space with a compact subset $K \subsetneqq X$ and G a non-discrete Polish AR (ANR) group.*

1. *If $X \setminus K$ is infinite or G is non-locally compact, then $C(X,K;G,e) \approx_{(\ell)} l_2$.*
2. *If $X \setminus K$ is finite and G is locally compact, then $C(X,K;G,e) \approx_{(\ell)} \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$.*

We also need some extension/deformation lemmas for maps.

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a compact metrizable space with $K, L \subset X$ disjoint compact subsets.*

1. *If Y is an ANR, then for any map $f : X \to Y$ there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $f|_K$ in $C(K,Y)$ and a map $s : \mathcal{U} \to C(X,Y)$ such that $s(g)|_K = g$, $s(g)|_L = f|_L$ $(g \in \mathcal{U})$ and $s(f|_K) = f$.*
2. *If Y is an AR, then we can take $\mathcal{U} = C(K,Y)$ in (1).*

Proof. (1) We define a closed subset H of the space $C(K,Y) \times X$ and a map $\varphi : H \to Y$ by

$$H = \big(C(K,Y) \times (K \cup L)\big) \cup (\{f|_K\} \times X) \ \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi(g,x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } x \in K, \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in L \text{ or } g = f|_K. \end{cases}$$

Since Y is an ANR and $C(K,Y) \times X$ is metrizable, the map φ extends to a map $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{V} \to Y$ over a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of H in $C(K,Y) \times X$. Using compactness of X, we can find a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $f|_K \in C(K,Y)$ such that $\mathcal{U} \times X \subset \mathcal{V}$. Then, the desired map $s : \mathcal{U} \to C(X,Y)$ is defined by $s(g)(x) = \tilde{\varphi}(g,x)$.

(2) If Y is an AR, then we can take $\mathcal{V} = C(K,Y) \times X$ and $\mathcal{U} = C(K,Y)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose X is a compact metrizable space and K, L are disjoint compact subsets of X.*

(1) *If Y is strongly locally contractible at a point $y \in Y$, then the following hold:*
  (i) *For any neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\widehat{y}_X$ in $C(X,Y)$ there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\widehat{y}_X$ in $C(X,Y)$ and a homotopy $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ $(t \in [0,1])$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$*
    (a) $s_0(f) = f$, (b) $s_1(f) \in C(X, L; Y, y)$,
    (c) $s_t(f)|_K = f|_K$ $(t \in [0,1])$,
    (d) *if $x \in X$ and $f(x) = y$, then $s_t(f)(x) = y$ $(t \in [0,1])$ (in particular, $s_t(\widehat{y}_X) = \widehat{y}_X$).*
  (ii) *For any subset $F \subset X$, the subspace $C(X, F; Y, y)$ is strongly locally contractible at $\widehat{y}_X$.*

(2) *If Y is strongly contractible at y, then the following hold:*
  (i) *There exists a homotopy $s_t : C(X,Y) \to C(X,Y)$ $(t \in [0,1])$ which satisfies the consitions* (1)(i)(a) - (d) *for each $f \in C(X,Y)$.*
  (ii) *For any subset $F \subset X$, the subspace $C(X, F; Y, y)$ is strongly contractible at $\widehat{y}_X$.*

Proof. (1) (i) Take a map $\lambda : X \to [0,1]$ with $\lambda(K) = 0$ and $\lambda(L) = 1$. There exists a neighborhood V of y in Y with $C(X,V) \subset \mathcal{V}$. By the assumption, there is a neighborhood U of y in Y and a homotopy $h_t : U \to V$ $(t \in [0,1])$ such that $h_0 = \mathrm{id}_U$, $h_1 = \widehat{y}_U$ and $h_t(y) = y$. Then $\mathcal{U} = C(X,U)$ is a neighborhood of $\widehat{y}_X$ and the required homotopy $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ is defined by $s_t(f)(x) = h_{\lambda(x)t}(f(x))$.

(ii) We can apply (1)(i) to $K = \emptyset$ and $L = X$ so to obtain strong local contractions $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ at $\widehat{y}_X$ in $C(X,Y)$. The condition (d) implies that $s_t(\mathcal{U} \cap C(X,F;Y,y)) \subset \mathcal{V} \cap C(X,F;Y,y)$.

(2) (i) The homotopy s_t is defined in the same way as in (1)(i) by using a strong contraction h_t of Y at y.

(ii) The condition (d) assures that $s_t(C(X,F;Y,y)) \subset C(X,F;Y,y)$. $\square$

4. TOPOLOGICAL TYPE OF $(C(X,G), C_c(X,G))$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. To simplify the arguments, we use the following terminology: For pairs of spaces (X,A) and (Y,B), (a) we set $(X,A) \times (Y,B) = (X \times Y, A \times B)$, (b) we write $(X,A) \approx_\ell (Y,B)$ if for each point $a \in A$ there exist an open neighborhood U of a in X and an open subset V of Y which admit a homeomorphism of pairs of spaces $(U, U \cap A) \approx (V, V \cap B)$, and (c) we say that a map $p : (X,A) \to (Y,B)$ has a local section at a point $b \in B$, if there exist an open neighborhood V of b in Y and a map of pairs $s : (V, V \cap B) \to (X,A)$ such that $ps = \mathrm{id}_V$.

Suppose G is a Hausdorff topological group and X is a locally compact Polish space. Let $\mathcal{G} = C(X,G)$ and $\mathcal{G}_c = C_c(X,G)$. For subsets $K, N \subset X$, let

$$\mathcal{G}_K = C(X,K;G,e), \quad \mathcal{G}(N) = \mathcal{G}_{X \setminus N},$$
$$\mathcal{G}_K(N) = \mathcal{G}_K \cap \mathcal{G}(N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_c(N) = \mathcal{G}(N) \cap \mathcal{G}_c.$$

Every discrete family $\mathcal{L} = (L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact subsets of X induces two maps

$$r_{\mathcal{L}} : (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_c) \to (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} C(L_i, G), \quad r_{\mathcal{L}}(f) = (f|_{L_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{L}} : (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(L_i) \to (\mathcal{G}(L), \mathcal{G}_c(L)), \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}})|_{L_i} = f_i|_{L_i},$$

where $L = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} L_i$. Note that the map $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a homeomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{L} = (L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathcal{N} = (N_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{K} = (K_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be discrete families of compact subsets of X such that $L_i \subset \operatorname{Int} N_i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) and $X = L \cup K$, where $L = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} L_i$, $K = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} K_i$ and $N = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} N_i$. The families $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ induces the homeomorphisms

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{N}} : (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(N_i) \to (\mathcal{G}(N), \mathcal{G}_c(N)) \ \text{ and } \ \lambda_{\mathcal{K}} : (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(K_i) \to (\mathcal{G}(K), \mathcal{G}_c(K)),$$

and we obtain the map

$$\rho : (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(N_i) \times (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(K_i) \to (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_c),$$
$$\rho((g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, (h_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}}((g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) \cdot \lambda_{\mathcal{K}}((h_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}).$$

Lemma 4.1. (1) *If G is an AR (ANR), then*

$$(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_c) \approx_{(\ell)} (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} C(L_i, G) \times (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_L(K_i).$$

(2) *If G is (locally) contractible, then the map ρ has a (local) section at $\widehat{e}_X$.*

Proof. (1) For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, since L_i and $F_i = \operatorname{Fr}_X N_i$ are disjoint compact sets in N_i, by Lemma 3.7 (1), there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_i$ of $\widehat{e}_{L_i} \in C(L_i, G)$ and a map $s_i : \mathcal{V}_i \to C(N_i, F_i; G, e) \approx \mathcal{G}(N_i)$ such that $s_i(f)|_{L_i} = f$ ($f \in \mathcal{V}_i$) and $s_i(\widehat{e}_{L_i}) = \widehat{e}_X$. The maps s_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) determine a continuous map

$$s : \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \to \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(N_i), \quad s((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) = \big(s_i(f_i)\big)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

The preimage $\mathcal{V} = r_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(\square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i)$ is an open neighborhood of $\widehat{e}_X$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Consider the map

$$\eta = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}} \circ s \circ r_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{G}(N).$$

Since $\eta(g)|_{L_i} = g|_{L_i}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$), we have $\eta(g)^{-1} g \in \mathcal{G}_L$. Hence, we obtain two maps

$$\phi : \mathcal{V} \to \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{G}_L, \ \phi(g) = (r_{\mathcal{L}}(g), \eta(g)^{-1} \cdot g) \ \text{ and}$$

$$\theta : \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(N_i) \times \mathcal{G}_L \to \mathcal{G}, \ \theta((g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}}((g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) \cdot h.$$

It follows that

$$\theta \circ (s \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \phi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Indeed, we have $(\theta \circ (s \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \phi)(g) = (\lambda_{\mathcal{N}} \circ s \circ r_{\mathcal{L}})(g) \cdot \eta(g)^{-1} g = g \quad (g \in \mathcal{V})$. Next, consider the map $\psi_0 = \theta \circ (s \times \mathrm{id}) : \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{G}_L \to \mathcal{G}$. For any $((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) \in \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{G}_L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h)|_{L_i} &= \theta((s_i(f_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h)|_{L_i} = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}}((s_i(f_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}})|_{L_i} \cdot h|_{L_i} \\ &= \lambda_{\mathcal{N}}((s_i(f_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}})|_{L_i} = f_i \in \mathcal{V}_i. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $(r_{\mathcal{L}} \circ \psi_0)((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) = (f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\psi_0((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) \in r_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(\square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i) = \mathcal{V}$. Thus, we obtain the map

$$\psi = \theta \circ (s \times \mathrm{id}) : \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{G}_L \to \mathcal{V}.$$

Now we shall show that the maps ϕ and ψ are reciprocal homeomorphisms. It remains to show that $\phi \circ \psi = \mathrm{id}$. For any $((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) \in \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{G}_L$, if we put $g = \psi((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h)$, then we have (a) $r_{\mathcal{L}}(g) = (f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, (b) $\eta(g) = (\lambda_{\mathcal{N}} \circ s \circ r_{\mathcal{L}})(g) = (\lambda_{\mathcal{N}} \circ s)((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}})$ and (c) $g = \psi((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) = \theta(s((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}), h) = (\lambda_{\mathcal{N}} \circ s)((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) \cdot h$. Therefore, it follows that $(\phi \circ \psi)((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h) = \phi(g) = (r_{\mathcal{L}}(g), \eta(g)^{-1} g) = ((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, h)$.

Since $s_i(\widehat{e}_{L_i}) = \widehat{e}_X$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$, it is seen that $\phi(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_c) = \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{G}_{L,c}$ and

$$\phi : (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_c) \approx (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{V}_i \times (\mathcal{G}_L, \mathcal{G}_{L,c}).$$

Since $X = L \cup K$, we have $\mathcal{G}_L \subset \mathcal{G}(K)$. Thus, $\lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$ restricts to the homeomorphism

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{K}} : (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}_L(K_i) \approx (\mathcal{G}_L, \mathcal{G}_{L,c}).$$

Thus, we have $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_c) \approx (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{V}_i \times (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}_L(K_i)$.

If G is an AR, then we can take $\mathcal{V}_i = C(L_i, G)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{G}$. Then, we have

$$(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_c) \approx (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}C(L_i, G) \times (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}_L(K_i).$$

(2) The argument proceeds along the same line as the first half part of (1). The family $\mathcal{N}$ induces the map

$$r_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{G} \to \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}C(N_i, G), \quad r_{\mathcal{N}}(f) = (f|_{N_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

By Lemma 3.8 (1), for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_i'$ of $\widehat{e}_{N_i} \in C(N_i, G)$ and a map $s_i' : \mathcal{V}_i' \to C(N_i, F_i; G, e) \approx \mathcal{G}(N_i)$ such that $s_i'(f)|_{L_i} = f|_{L_i}$ $(f \in \mathcal{V}_i')$ and $s_i'(\widehat{e}_{N_i}) = \widehat{e}_{N_i}$. The maps $(s_i')_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ determine a continuous map

$$s' : \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{V}_i' \to \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(N_i), \quad s'((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) = \big(s_i'(f_i)\big)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

As before, $\mathcal{V}' = r_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{V}_i')$ is an open neighborhood of $\widehat{e}_X$ in $\mathcal{G}$ and we have maps

$$\eta' = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}} \circ s' \circ r_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{G}(N) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\phi' : \mathcal{V}' \to \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{V}_i' \times \mathcal{G}_L, \quad \phi'(g) = (r_{\mathcal{N}}(g), \eta'(g)^{-1}g).$$

Note that the map ρ has the factorization

$$\rho : \ \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(N_i) \times \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}_L(K_i) \ \overset{\mathrm{id} \times \lambda_{\mathcal{K}}}{\approx} \ \square_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(N_i) \times \mathcal{G}_L \ \overset{\theta}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathcal{G}.$$

Again, it is seen that $\theta \circ (s' \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \phi' = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}'}$, and hence the map θ has a local section at $\widehat{e}_X$:

$$(s' \times \mathrm{id})\phi' : (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}' \cap \mathcal{G}_c) \to (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(N_i) \times (\mathcal{G}_L, \mathcal{G}_{L,c}).$$

Thus, the map ρ also has a local section at $\widehat{e}_X$.

If G is contractible, then we can take $\mathcal{V}_i' = C(N_i, G)$ and $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{G}$. Hence, ρ has a global section. This completes the proof. □

A subset A of M is *regular closed* if $A = \mathrm{cl}_M(\mathrm{int}_M A)$. Since X is locally compact and σ-compact, there exists a sequence $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact regular closed subsets of X such that $X_i \subset \mathrm{int}_X X_{i+1}$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $X = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$. It induces the tower $(\mathcal{G}(X_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of closed subgroups of $\mathcal{G}_c$ and the multiplication map

$$p : \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i) \to \mathcal{G}_c, \quad p(h_1, \dots, h_n) = h_1 \cdots h_n.$$

Let $L_i = X_i \setminus \mathrm{int}_X X_{i-1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $X_0 = \emptyset$. Since each X_i is regular closed, L_i is also regular closed. We call the sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ an *exhausting sequence* for X.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an exhausting sequence for X.*

(1) *If G is an AR (ANR), then*

$$(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_c) \approx_{(\ell)} (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}C(L_{2i}, G) \times (\square, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1}).$$

(2) *If G is (locally) contractible, then*

  (i) *the map $p : \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i) \to \mathcal{G}_c$ has a (local) section s at $\widehat{e}_X$ such that $s(\widehat{e}_X) = (\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, \dots)$,*

(ii) *the group* $\mathcal{G}_c$ *is the direct limit of the tower* $(\mathcal{G}(X_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *in the category of topological groups.*

Proof. There exists a sequence $(N_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact subsets of X such that $L_i \subset \mathrm{int}_X N_i$ and $N_i \cap N_j \neq \emptyset$ iff $|i-j| \le 1$. We apply Lemma 4.1 to the discrete families $\mathcal{L} = (L_{2i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathcal{N} = (N_{2i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{K} = (L_{2i-1})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $L = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} L_{2i}$. Since L_{2i-2} is regular closed, we have $\mathcal{G}_L(L_{2i-1}) = \mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1})$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) The statement follows directly from Lemma 4.1 (1).

(2)(i) By Lemma 4.1 (2) the map

$$\rho : (\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(N_{2i}) \times (\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_c),$$
$$\rho((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, (g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}}((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) \cdot \lambda_{\mathcal{K}}((g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}})$$

has a local section $S : (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_c) \to (\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(N_{2i}) \times (\Box, \boxdot)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1})$ at $\widehat{e}_X$ such that

$$S(\widehat{e}_X) = ((\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, \dots), (\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, \dots)).$$

Note that $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_c$ is an open neighborhood of $\widehat{e}_X$ in $\mathcal{G}_c$ and that for each $h \in \mathcal{W}$ the image $S(h) = ((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, (g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}})$ satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $h = \lambda_{\mathcal{N}}((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) \cdot \lambda_{\mathcal{K}}((g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) = (f_1 f_2 \cdots)(g_1 g_2 \cdots) = f_1 g_1 f_2 g_2 f_3 g_3 \cdots$.

(b) $f_i \in \mathcal{G}(N_{2i}) \subset \mathcal{G}(X_{2i+1})$, $g_i \in \mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1}) \subset \mathcal{G}(X_{2i-1}) \subset \mathcal{G}(X_{2i+2})$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) $\big(\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots\big) \in \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i)$ and $h = p\big(\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots\big)$.

Therefore, the required local section of the map $p : \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i) \to \mathcal{G}_c$ is defined by

$$s : \mathcal{W} \to \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i), \quad s(h) = \big(\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots\big).$$

If G is contractible, then we can take $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{G}_c$.

(ii) From (i) and Remark 2.1, it follows that p is open at $\boldsymbol{e} = (\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, \dots)$. Thus, the assertion follows from Proposition 2.2. This completes the proof. $\Box$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.2 (1) it only remains to determine the topological type of the spaces $C(L_{2i}, G)$ and $\mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1}) \approx C(L_{2i-1}, \mathrm{Fr}_X L_{2i-1}; G, e)$ for a suitable exhausting sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ for X.

(1) If G is non-locally compact, we choose any exhausting sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. If X is not end-discrete, we can find an exhausting sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}_X L_i$ is infinite for each $i \ge 1$. In each case we have $C(L_{2i}, G) \approx_{(\ell)} l_2$ and $\mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1}) \approx_{(\ell)} l_2$ $(i \ge 1)$ by Corollary 3.6 (1).

(2) Since G is locally contractible, it follows that G is a Lie group of dimension $n \ge 1$ (so that $G \approx_\ell \mathbb{R}^n$) and that $G \approx \mathbb{R}^n$ if G is contractible. (i) By the assumption, we can find a compact open subset X_1 of X such that X_1 is infinite and $X - X_1$ is discrete. Thus we have an exhausting sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that (a) L_i's are disjoint open subsets of X, (b) L_1 is infinite and (c) L_i is a one-point set for any $i \ge 2$. Then, $\mathcal{G}(L_1) \approx_{(\ell)} l_2$ and $C(L_{2i}, G) \approx \mathcal{G}(L_{2i+1}) \approx G$ $(i \ge 1)$.
(ii) Choose an exhausting sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that each L_i is a one-point set. Then, we have $\mathcal{G}(L_{2i-1}) \approx C(L_{2i}, G) \approx G$ $(i \ge 1)$. $\Box$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1) Choose any exhausting sequence $(X_i, L_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ for X. Since G is (locally) contractible, so is each $\mathcal{G}(X_i) \approx C(X_i, \mathrm{Fr}_X X_i; G, e)$ by Lemma 3.8. Hence, $\boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i)$ is (locally) contractible from Proposition 2.3. By Proposition 4.2 (2) the map $p : \boxdot_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{G}(X_i) \to \mathcal{G}_c$ admits a (local) section s at $\widehat{e}_X$ such that $s(\widehat{e}_X) = (\widehat{e}_X, \widehat{e}_X, \dots)$. Thus, the group $\mathcal{G}_c$ is also seen to be (locally) contractible.

(2) The paracompactness of the space $C_c(X, G)$ follows from Proposition 4.4 below. Thus, the assertions follow from Theorem 1.1. □

Let V be a topological linear space and $(V_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a tower of closed linear subspace of V. Then, they are abelian groups and the direct limit of the tower $(V_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the category of topological groups is also a topological linear space. Thus, we have the following as a corollary of Proposition 4.2 (2)(ii).

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose X is a locally compact Polish space and $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of compact subsets of X such that $X_i \subset \operatorname{int}_X X_{i+1}$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $X = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$. Then, for any Fréchet space F the space $C_c(X, F)$ is the LF-space with respect to the tower of Fréchet spaces $(C(X_i, \operatorname{Fr}_X X_i; F, 0))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

Let $(Y, *)$ be a pointed space. Similarly to the above, the support of $f \in C(X, Y)$ is defined by $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{cl}_X\{x \in X : f(x) \neq *\}$ and we define a space $C_c(X, Y)$ as follows:

$$C_c(X, Y) = \{f \in C(X, G) : \operatorname{supp}(f) \text{ is compact}\}.$$

A space is said to be *perfectly paracompact* if it is paracompact and each open subset is of type F_σ. It should be noticed that any subspace of a perfectly paracompact space is perfectly paracompact (cf. [10, Theorem 5.1.28], [9, Chapter VIII, Section 2, 2.5]). From [8, Section 4] it follows that the small box product of metrizable spaces is perfectly paracompact. Since any LF-space is homeomorphic to a small box product of Fréchet spaces [14], it follows that every LF-space is perfectly paracompact. Hence, Corollary 4.3 has the following consequence.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose X is a locally compact Polish space and $(Y, *)$ is a pointed metrizable space. Then, $C_c(X, Y)$ is perfectly paracompact.*

Proof. Let $(N, 0)$ be a Banach space which contains $(Y, *)$ as a subspace. By Corollary 4.3 the space $C_c(X, N)$ is an LF-space, and hence it is perfectly paracompact. Since $C_c(X, Y)$ is a subspace of $C_c(X, N)$, we have the conclusion. □

REFERENCES

[1] T. Banakh, *On hyperspaces and homeomorphism groups homeomorphic to products of absorbing sets and* $\mathbb{R}^\infty$, Tsukuba J. Math. **23** (1999) 495–504.

[2] T. Banakh, K. Mine and K. Sakai, *Classifying homeomorphism groups of infinite graphs*, Topology Appl. **157** (2009) 108–122.

[3] T. Banakh, K. Mine, K. Sakai and T. Yagasaki, *Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds with the Whitney topology*, to appear in Topology Proceedings (arXiv:0802.0337v2).

[4] T. Banakh, K. Mine, K. Sakai and T. Yagasaki, *Topological groups locally homeomorphic to LF-spaces*, preprint (cf. arXiv:0802.0337v1).

[5] T. Banakh and D. Repovs, Direct limit topologies in the categories of topological groups and of uniform spaces, preprint (arXiv:0911.3985).

[6] T. Banakh and T. Yagasaki, *The diffeomorphism groups of the real line are pairwise bihomeomorphic*, in: Proceedings of "Infinite-Dimensional Analysis and Topology", Yaremche, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine, 2009; a special issue in Topology, in press, (online November 2009) (arXiv:0804.3645v3).

[7] T. Dobrowolski and H. Toruńczyk, *Separable complete ANR's admitting a group structure are Hilbert manifolds*, Topology Appl. **12** (1981), 229–235.

[8] E. K. van Douwen, *The box product of countably many metrizable spaces need not be normal,* Fund. Math. **88** (1975), 127–132.

[9] J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1966.

[10] R. Engelking, General Topology, Revised and complete edition, Sigma Ser. Pure Math. **6**, Heldermann Verlarg, Berlin, 1989.

[11] A. M. Gleason, *Groups without small subgroups*, Ann. Math. **56** (1952), 193–212.

[12] I. I. Guran and M.M. Zarichnyi, *The Whitney topology and box products*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A. 1984, no.11, 5–7.

[13] K. Iwasawa, *On some types of topological groups*, Ann. of Math., (2) **50** (1949), 507–558.

[14] P. Mankiewicz, *On topological, Lipschitz, and uniform classification of LF-spaces*, Studia Math. **52** (1974), 109–142.

[15] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, Topological Transformation Groups, Interscience New York, 1955; Reprint of the 1955 Original, R.E. Kriger Publ. Co., Huntingto, N.Y., 1974.

[16] K. Sakai, *The space of cross sections of a bundle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988). 956-960.

[17] S. Williams, *Box products*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp.169–200.

(T. Banakh) Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Humanistyczno-Przyrodniczy Jana Kochanowskiego w Kielcach, Poland, and
Department of Mathematics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine
E-mail address: `tbanakh@yahoo.com`

(K. Mine) Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: `pen@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`

(K. Sakai) Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: `sakaiktr@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp`

(T. Yagasaki) Division of Mathematics, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Kyoto, 606-8585, Japan
E-mail address: `yagasaki@kit.ac.jp`